

April 2005, Number 105

What Georgians are Thinking about Taxes III

The Fiscal Research Center sponsored telephone surveys of Georgians in the spring of 2003 and the fall of 2004 to take the pulse of public opinion on a variety of fiscal issues. This policy brief is the third in a series that summarizes some of the attitudes of Georgians on a select number of fiscal issues in both 2003 and 2004.

Overview of the Survey

The surveys asked a number of questions about specific revenue and expenditure issues. They also asked questions regarding perceived tax burdens on a typical Georgia family as well as tax fairness. The questions of interest for this brief were only asked on the 2004 survey. The first question asked the respondent to estimate the amount of income tax a typical Georgia family paid. The second asked what a typical Georgia family paid annually in state sales tax. The results of the survey indicate that Georgian's estimates of what the typical family pays in state sales and income tax are quite far off the mark. First, over 20 percent of respondents volunteered that they didn't know what amount was paid for either tax rather than pick from a group of five possible ranges. Second, the estimates for sales and income taxes for those choosing some level of tax in the survey are overstated. Overall, the survey results point to a general lack of knowledge about actual tax burdens on the population.

Results

Georgians gave a wide range of answers when

asked what they felt the typical Georgia family paid annually in state sales and income tax. However the most common response was that they didn't know. These results were not associated with income or education.¹ However they did vary by the order of the answers as read by the surveyor.

The results of the survey suggest that Georgians do not know the sales and income tax burden on the average family. The primary evidence supporting this is that roughly 22 percent of respondents volunteered that they didn't know what the typical Georgia family paid in sales tax or income tax. This was the most common response even though it was not offered as a choice in the survey.

Additional evidence of respondents lack of knowledge is garnered through the surveyors attempt to control for potential framing bias. Framing bias occurs when the form or order of the question or answers influence the response rate in a survey. To control for possible framing bias, the sample was split in half. For each question one group of respondents was read the answer ranges from highest to lowest, descending order. The other half was read the answer ranges from lowest to highest, ascending order. When asked in ascending order roughly 18 percent of people believed

the typical family paid less than \$1,000 annually in sales tax. (The actual level of sales tax paid by the median household is approximately \$1,300 based on a median income for a family of four of \$60,000.) When asked in descending order only 9 percent believed the typical family paid less than \$1,000 in sales tax annually.²

This pattern is reversed for higher ranges of sales tax. Only 12 percent of respondents believed the typical Georgian family paid more than \$3,000 in sales tax when asked in ascending order, while 21 percent believed that to be the case when asked in descending order. There was no statistically significant difference in the percentage who volunteered that they didn't know what the typical family paid in annual state sales tax based on the order of the answer ranges. The above evidence suggests that respondents do not know the actual range of sales tax paid.

The differences in income tax responses depending on the order of the ranges presented were not as dramatic as those for the sales tax. The actual income tax liability for a family of four with \$60,000 of income is approximately \$2,300. People were more likely to choose lower levels of income tax when the lower number ranges were mentioned first and more likely to pick higher values when higher ranges were mentioned first. Again there was no difference in the number of people who volunteered that they didn't know what the typical family paid in income tax.

When the split samples are aggregated the distribution of answers is fairly uniform with a low variance across ranges. In addition this distribution seems to be about the same for both sales and income tax. For only two ranges were the percentages statistically different for the amount respondents selected for sales tax paid by the typical family compared to the amount of income tax paid by the typical family. However the actual income tax burden on the typical family is nearly twice that of the sales tax. The graph below illustrates the similarity in response ranges

for sales tax and income tax. Responses for the two ranges with asterisks are statistically different from each other.

The confusion in response to these questions regarding actual taxes paid may be attributed to several factors. First respondents may have very different views of what is a "typical" family. Second there could be confusion over the rate of state sales tax. If respondents assumed that what they pay in total sales tax all goes to the state that could explain some of the inflated numbers. (The state sales tax rate is 4 percent.) A similar problem could exist with income tax. Perhaps some of the respondents are lumping together state and federal income taxes.

Even taking these alternative explanations into account, there is still great uncertainty as to the tax burden in Georgia. This result is supported by the effect the order of the answer ranges had on the responses, as well as by the comparison of distributions of people who choose a response range. Thus it would appear that most respondents have little actual knowledge of the sales and income tax burdens in Georgia.

NOTES


1 Data was collected on respondents' income and education level. There were no statistically significant differences in responses at the 95 percent level for any of the different income groups or education attainment levels.

2 All reported percentages are statistically significantly different from each other at the 95 percent level.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Peter Bluestone is a Research Associate with the Fiscal Research Center at the Andrew Young School of Policy Studies at Georgia State University. He is a Georgia State University Urban Fellows Recipient. His research interests include, urban economics, environmental economics and state and local fiscal policy.

Estimated State Sales & Income Tax Burdern onTypical GA Family



ABOUT FRC

The Fiscal Research Center provides nonpartisan research, technical assistance, and education in the evaluation and design of state and local fiscal and economic policy, including both tax and expenditure issues. The Center's mission is to promote development of sound public policy and public understanding of issues of concern to state and local governments.

The Fiscal Research Center (FRC) was established in 1995 in order to provide a stronger research foundation for setting fiscal policy for state and local governments and for better-informed decision making. The FRC, one of several prominent policy research centers and academic departments housed in the School of Policy Studies, has a full-time staff and affiliated faculty from throughout Georgia State University and elsewhere who lead the research efforts in many organized projects.

The FRC maintains a position of neutrality on public policy issues in order to safeguard the academic freedom of authors. Thus, interpretations or conclusions in FRC publications should be understood to be solely those of the author. For more information on the Fiscal Research Center, call 404-651-2782.

RECENT PUBLICATIONS

What Georgians Are Thinking About Taxes III. This brief is the third of three briefs reporting on telephone surveys of Georgians. (April 2005)

What Georgians Are Thinking About Taxes II. This brief is the second of three briefs reporting on telephone surveys of Georgians. (April 2005)

Fiscal Capacity of Counties in Georgia. This brief examines the fiscal strength of Georgia's counties. (April 2005)

Status of Health and Pension Benefits for Employees of the State of Georgia in 1994. This report analyzes the Health and Retirement Package offer to employees of the State of Georgia. (April 2005)

What Georgians Are Thinking About Taxes I. This brief is the first of three briefs reporting on telephone surveys of Georgians. (March 2005)

A Historical Perspective of Georgia's Economy. This report chronicles the history of Georgia's economy from the 1950s to the present and provides an outlook for the future growth areas in Georgia. (February 2005)

How Different are Sales Tax Rates Along Georgia's Border? This brief provides a comparison of sales tax rates in counties on Georgia's borders. (February 2005)

An Initial Evaluation of a Proposed Statewide Education Sales Tax. This report provides a preliminary analysis of a proposal to replace education property taxes with a statewide sales tax. (December 2004)

Financing Georgia's Future. This report explores how Georgia finances its expenditures through various revenue sources and compares Georgia's taxes across states and over time on multiple dimensions. (December 2004)

The Advantage of Accessibility to Goods and People: Transportation and Georgia's Economic Development. This report describes how transportation affects Georgia's economic development at present and what is likely in the future, and makes a set of recommendations for the direction of state transportation policy. (November 2004)

Distribution of Per Capita Income in Georgia: 1969-2000. This paper examines major changes in the county-level distribution of per capita income between 1969 and 2000. The analysis focuses on income convergence and geographic shifts in per capita income over the 31-year period. (September 2004)

Alternative Formulas for Allocating LOST Revenue To Counties and Municipalities. This study explores the procedure for allocating LOST revenue and suggests alternatives. (April 2004)

Firm Location Decisions and Information Needs. This report explores the perceptions of professional consultants and state and local economic development practitioners of useful information in the economic development site location process. (March 2004)

Performance Measurement in State Economic Development Agencies: Lessons and Next Steps for GDITT. This study provides the basis for a comprehensive performance monitoring system for GDITT. (February 2004)

Does Georgia Need A Unitary Tax? This report explores the issues associated with using a unitary tax approach to the state's corporate income tax. (February 2004).

International Trade and Economic Development Strategy: Can Foreign Direct Investment Be Predicted? This study identifies factors that might be used by the state to better target foreign industries and countries that are more likely to be seeking investment opportunities in the U.S. (December 2003).

The Economics of Cigarette Taxation: Lessons for Georgia This report provides estimates of the fiscal effects of increasing taxes on cigarettes. (December 2003).

Single Factor Sales Apportionment Formula in Georgia. What Is the NET Revenue Effect? This report provides an update of the static revenue loss and provides estimates of the indirect revenue effects from switching to a single factor sales apportionment formula. (October 2003)

Financing Georgia's Schools: A Primer. This report provides an explanation of how K-12 education is financed in Georgia. (October 2003)

Getting Serious About Property Tax Reform in Georgia. This report lists problems with the property tax in Georgia and outlines a set of policy options for reforming the property tax. (August 2003)

For a free copy of any of the publications listed, call the Fiscal Research Center at 404/651-4342, or fax us at 404/651-2737. All reports are available on our webpage at: frc.aysps.gsu.edu/frc/index.html.